

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,480	06/23/2005	Hirozoh Tsuruta	025260-100	2983
21839 75	590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
	INGERSOLL PC	MARCHESCHI, MICHAEL A		
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/540,480	TSURUTA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
		Michael A. Marcheschi	1755	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowardlosed in accordance with the practice under the state of	 s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and are specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct Theorem Replacement drawing s	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er. epted or b) objected to by the formula drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative rity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/540,480

Art Unit: 1755

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is indefinite because it fails to recite a definite method (cutting) step.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mueller et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,480

Art Unit: 1755

The reference teaches in the abstract and sections [0017]-[0019] and [0022], a composition, in slurry form, comprising an abrasive, an amine (5 wt.%, etc.), a basic material (hydroxide at an amount than can be at lest 3.5%) and water, wherein the slurry has a pH of 12 or more.

The claimed invention is anticipated by the reference because the reference teaches a composition which comprises all of the claimed components. In the alternative, no patentable distinction is seen to exist between the reference and the claimed invention absent evidence to the contrary because the reference teaches values that encompasses the claimed values.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 02262955 in view of Mueller et al. and Rysek et al.

The JP reference teaches in the abstract, a method for cutting a silicon ingot which employs using a cutting slurry comprising an alkaline solution (pH of 9 or more) of an abrasive material, wherein cutting is performed at a temperature within the claimed range. The reference states that the alkaline solution is preferably based on a hydroxide.

In addition to the teachings of Mueller et al. teach in section [0029] that the slurry can be used to polish prime silicon (i.e. prime silicon can be considered to broadly be the same as a silicon ingot in term of the composition thereof).

Rysek suggests in the abstract (last 5 lines) and column 3, lines 48-54 that slurries based on abrasive are known to be used for either cutting or polishing.

The JP reference teaches a method which involves the use of an alkaline abrasive slurry in the cutting of silicon ingots, however, is silent as to the specifically claimed slurry

Art Unit: 1755

composition in the cutting operation. Although this reference might not mention the use of the claimed specific slurry (one containing an amine), it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to utilize the slurry of Mueller et al., described above, in the method according to the JP reference motivated by the fact that both the slurry of Mueller and the JP reference are alkaline based and are both are known to be used to treat silicon materials. Furthermore, Rysek suggests that slurries based on abrasives are known to be used for either cutting or polishing, thus one skilled in the art in view of this teaching would have been motivated to apply the slurry according to Mueller et al. in a cutting operation, such as the one defined by the JP reference.

The references cited on the 1449 (some also cited on S.R.) have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be art of interest since they are cumulative to or less than the art relied upon in the above rejections. Although cited as "X" references on the S.R., review of these documents does not suggest the claimed slurry or method.

Any foreign language documents submitted by applicant has been considered to the extent of the short explanation of significance, English abstract or English equivalent, if appropriate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A. Marcheschi whose telephone number is (571) 272-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300

Application/Control Number: 10/540,480

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

1/06 MM Michael A Marcheschi Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755 Page 5